Cervical Kinesio Taping and Postural Correction Exercises Influence Clinical and Electromyographic Characteristics in Mechanical Neck Dysfunction Patients: A Randomized Clinical Trial

Aliaa M El-abd, Msc,PT* , Abeer R Ibrahim, PhD, PT**,Haytham M Elhafez, PhD, PT**

*Department of basic science, faculty of physical therapy, Pharos University, Alexandria, Egypt.

**Department of basic science, faculty of physical therapy, Cairo University, Egypt.

Abstract

Background: Mechanical neck dysfunction (MND) with impaired axioscapular muscles functions is a major health burden. While postural correction exercises (PCEs) are common treatment option, efficacy of kinesio tape (KT) has received considerable attention.**Purpose:**to investigate efficacy of KT with or without PCEs on pain, disability and upper trapezius (UT) kinesiological electromyography (EMG) in MND patients. **Subjects and Methods:** Ninety chronic MND patientsaged 18–40 years were randomly and equally assigned into 1 of 3 groups received 4 weeks treatment;group A received KT, B (control) received PCEs and group C received both modalities. Neck pain, disability, UT root mean square (RMS) and median frequency (MDF) were measured pre and post-treatmentby visual analogue scale, neck disability index and EMG respectively. Between groups comparisons were performed using 2 ways MANOVA while intra-group comparisons were performed using paired t test. **Results:** MANOVA indicate a statistically significant group-by-time interaction (P=0.00). There were statistical significant pain reducion in group C more than B (p = 0.025), disability was reduced in groups A and C more than B (p < 0.01 and 0.034). While RMS was reduced in group C when compared to B (p= 0.037), MDF was increased in group C when compared to groups A and B (P =.001 0.00). Paired t tests were significant for all outcomes in all groups (p= 0<01).**Conclusion**: Although KT is promising for MND treatment, its integration with PCEs would have more beneficial outcome related to pain, disability and upper trapezius functions.

Key words: Cervical pain, exercises therapy, athletic tape, electromyography**.**

Introduction

In spite of medical developments and growing knowledge pertaining to spinal diseases, mechanical neck pain (MNP) remains one of the most prevalent and costly health problems worldwide.[1]for which, numerous treatments, including manual therapies, passive physical modalities, and acupuncture, are commonly used. However, few interventions have been demonstrated to be effective and most are associated with short-term benefits.[2]

Among complementary and alternative treatments, exercise therapy may be considered as the most widely used conservative treatment.[3] recently, there has been a focus on postural correction exercises involving repeated cervical and scapular retractions that prove to be effective and may be superior to general exercises for management of MNP. The approach is aimed at improving the neuromuscular control, strength, and endurance of the active subsystem stabilizing the spine.[4,5]However, recent reviews revealed that there is still controversy regarding the evidence about the effectiveness of postural correction exercises for neck pain.[6,7]From our point of view, the challenge that clinicians face may result from focusing on pathoanatomy as an etiological factor of MNP, ignoring the significant role of dysfunction.

Occupational tasks involving sustained posture may be associated with mechanical neck dysfunction (MND) due to impaired axioscapular muscles functions. [10]Altered coordination oftheir function influence mechanical loading of cervicalstructures leading to pain provocation.[9,10] In contrast, pain may cause alteration in axioscapularmuscles activities.[11] Amplitude of the myoelectricsignal may provide some insight into the pain-spasm-paintheory of musculoskeletal dysfunction.[12] Whatever the cause andeffect direction, axioscapular muscles activities should be considered in the management of MND.

Because the upper trapezius muscle is suitable for surface EMG detection due to its size and superficial location, It can be used to give rise to axioscapular muscles behavior and several studies have reported that

sustained trapezius muscle activity correlates with the presence of neck pain.[13- 15].This concept is based on the so-called Cinderella hypothesis which states that during a prolonged low-level activity the same muscle fibers are always active.[16] Moreover, decrease in median frequency in the EMG during tasks might be an indicator of fatigue of muscle fibers.[17]

Kinesio tape (KT) is a thin elastic tape which can be stretched up to 130-140% of its original length thereby providing constant shear force on the skin. According to its creators, KT has beneficial effects and possible useful mechanisms to suppress pain, relax muscles, support joints, and improve circulation.[18] Pain relief by KT has been reported in a number of previous studies involving different conditions such as myofascial pain syndrome [19,20], shoulder impingement syndrome [21], acute whiplash[22], and chronic low back pain[23]. The interaction of KT on muscle's function has also been reported.[24]

Determining the most appropriate intervention for individuals with MND remains a priority for researchers. While conflicting results emerged from studies examining the effect of KT for MND, further research is recommended focusing on the development of new predictions about its efficacy and/or combination of interventions.[25-27]

Wehypothesizedthatkinesiotaping of cervical paraspinal muscles of mechanical neck dysfunction (MND) patients combinedwith postural correction exercisescouldinterferewith muscles functions, therebyinfluencing MND. On the basis of thishypothesis, the aim of ourstudywasto investigate the efficacy of kinesio taping with or without postural correction exercises on pain intensity, neck disability and cervical muscles electromyographic (EMG) activities in form of upper trapezius normalized root mean square (RMS) as an indication of activation amplitude and median frequency (MDF) as an indication of muscular fatigue.

Subjects, Instrumentations and Methods

Subjects:

The study was conducted in accordance with the 1964 helsinki declaration and its later amendments, approved by the research ethics committee of physical therapy college,

Cairo University and reported with respect to CONSORT guidelines provided by EQUATOR Network. Participation was voluntary and Informed consent was obtained from each patient before participation in the study.

Design of the study:

Randomized controlled clinical trial with 3 parallel groups.

Sample-Size Determination:

Sample-size calculations were performed for neck pain as a primary outcome measure using G power 3.1 soft ware. The calculations were based on .343 effect size (partial eta squared measured in our pilot study = 0.105), an alpha level of .05, a desired power of 80%, numerator degree of freedom of 2 and 3 experimental groups. The estimated desired total sample size for the study was 86 patients. To accommodate the expected dropouts before the study's completion, a total of 90 participants were included in the study

Participants:

Participants in this study were ninety patients of both sexes (47 males and 43 females) diagnosed by orthopedist with MND, their age ranged from 20 to 40 years and BMI ranged from 25.1 to 32. They had a history of neck pain that last for more than three months duration and necessitate them to visit an orthopedist. They were recruited during the period from October 2015 to October 2016 from the students and employee of faculty of Physical Therapy Cairo University and also from patients referred to its out-patient clinic, where the data was collected. Other inclusion criteria were score above 15 in the neck disability index (NDI); which indicate the presence of at least a mild neck disorder.[33] while exclusion criteria were: any defined muculo-skeletal, neuro-muscular, inflammatory or traumatic diseases, and any tape allergy.

All patients were examined for taping allergy before allocation as follow; a small portion of tape was applied on inner part of patient's arm and kept for a day. Next day the tape was removed and if there was reaction, the patient was excluded. Patients were instructed to avoid anti-inflammatory drugs for 72 hours before the study. They received a standardized physical examination by an assessor blinded to

patients' allocation. They provided demographic and clinical information and completed self-report measures at baseline.

Concealed Allocation:

After the baseline examination, patients with eligibility criteria were assigned with simple randomization to receive Kinesio taping (group A), postural correction exercises (group B, control one) or both (group C). A researcher not involved in either recruitmentor treatment of the patients used a computer-generated randomized table of numbers created prior to the start of data collection for Concealed allocation. Sequentially, individually numbered index cardscontaining the randomly assigned interventiongroup were folded and placedin opaque, sealed envelopes. The envelope was openedby a secondtherapist blinded to baseline examinationfindings .the treatment was preceded accordingto the group assignment on the day of theinitial examination.

Outcome measures:

The primary outcome measure was neck pain intensity, with disability and UT muscle EMG parameters (normalized RMS for muscular activity and MDF for muscular fatigue) as secondary outcomes.

Instrumentation and assessment procedures:

(1) The visual analogue scale(VAS) was used to measure pain intensity (a 10 cm horizontal line anchored by "no pain" on the left and "worst imaginable pain" on the right). Patients indicated their pain intensity by marking on the point at the line that reflects their pain. Then the score was determined by measuring from the left end of the line to the point that the patient marked. It is a simple and efficient measuring tool with established reliability and validity. [28,29]

(2) Neck disability index (NDI) was usedto measure Functional neck disability. It includes 10 questions of which 7 examine functional activities, 2 ask about symptoms and a question considers concentration. Each Patient circled one of the six options describing the severity of each item (0–5).the marks were counted and divided by 50 or 45 if one section was missingwith total score ranging from 0 (no disability) to 50 (complete

disability). NDI has established validity and reliability.[30,31]

(3) EMG MyoSystem 1400A, delesyInc, Scottsdale, USA was used for measuring upper trapezius normalized RMS and MDF. The sites of the electrodes placement had been shaved and cleaned by a piece of cotton and alcohol to reduce skin impedance, Electrodes sites were located on each subject's dominant side as follows: Active electrode was located 2 cm lateral to the midpoint of a line drawn between C_7spinous process and the posterolateral acromion while the reference one was located over the C_7spinous process.[4]Raw EMG was amplified (bandwidth = 20-450 Hz, common mode rejection ratio>80 db at 60 Hz, input impedance = 10 GΩ) and collected with a ±2.5 V rang. EMG signals had systemic bias were removed, and were full wave rectified prior to being filtered. The resulting linear envelope signals were then normalized to maximal voluntary isometric contractions (MVIC).Assessment of the MVIC of upper trapezius(UT): was performed as described by Mclean[4]; the subject performed isometric shoulder abduction with the arm at 90^0 abduction and neutral rotation. Each contraction was maintained for 7 seconds and repeated three times against manual resistance with 30 seconds rest between repetitions.

After assessment of theMVIC, participants were asked to write for 15 minute; this task was chosen because it is the most common daily task for participants and it involve semi static load which aggravate their symptoms. During the examination, the patient remained seated in a chair with back completely supported, feet flat and supported on the floor and hips and knees flexed 90^0. Positioning of head, neck, shoulder and the spine had been standardized to avoid their effect on the activities of UT.[32]**(Figure 1)**Normalized RMS was calculated as follow:Normalized RMS %= EMG amplitude during writing task / (average of the 3 trials of MVIC)*100.[16] the median frequency was calculated from the raw EMG signals.

Figure 1: Assessment of UT MVIC and the writing task

All outcomes were collected at baseline and 4 weeks after the intervention by an assessor blinded to the patients treatment allocation. Patients were blinded to their allocation and uninformed of what intervention the other group would receive**.**

Treatment procedures:

Kinesio taping (KT):

It was applied for patients in groups A and C. Subjects seated in a chair in standard neutral comfortable position. Part to be taped was exposed and the skin was shaved and cleaned with alcohol then the first layer of the tape (blue one) was cut with length equal to the distance from T_1-T_2spinous process to occiput in to y shape keeping a base of 3 cm and the edges were rounded. Base of the "y" strip was applied on T_1 - T_2spinousprocesss without any tension then, each tail of the first (black) y strip was applied over the posterior cervical extensor muscles from the insertion to origin with a paper-off tension while the patient's neck in a position of maximum contralateral side-bending and rotation**.**

The paper-off tension tape is manufactured and applied to its paper backing with approximately 15% to 25% stretch. And the ends of the tape were applied without any tension on upper cervical region C_{1-2}.The overlying I-strip (pink) was a space-tape (opening) around 10 cm length with rounded edges placed perpendicular to the Y-strip over the mid-cervical region (C_3-C_6) with a moderate tension at the middle part and without any tension at the ends, while the patient's cervical spine in maximum available flexion to apply tension to the posterior neck structures**.(Figure 2)** Then, the applied strips were wrapped by the therapist fingers for seconds. The tape was replaced every 4 days. This technique was used in previous studies.[24,33,34]



Figure 2: Application of kinesio tapeon neck extensors

Postural correction exercises (PCE):

PCE were applied for patients in groups B and C. This program was conducted according to the protocols of Pearson and Walmsley.[35]Each exercise was performed as 3 sets of 10 repetitions each for 2 times/ week for 4 weeks. The patients were instructed to continue the exercises as a daily home program to influence the self correction kinesthetic awareness.Exercises were performed while the patients in a neutral sitting posture obtained as recommended by Falla, et al[36]; Participants were asked to sit on a chair where their feet were flat on the floor and their buttocks were fully supported. The chair's height was set so that the hips were approximately in 100^0 of flexion. In this position hypomobility in some patients posterior hip structures would not prevent them from comfortably anteriorly rotating the pelvis to achieve a neutral lumbar spine posture.

A) Cervical retraction exercises:

The patient was asked to pull the head and neck into a position in which the head is aligned more directly over the thorax (chin in) while the head and eyes remain level (as if hiding behind the wall) for 10 seconds. **(Figure 3A)**

***B) Scapular retraction exercise*:**

While sitting, patient was instructed to take deep inspiration and expand the chest. Then, move his or her shoulders backward bringing the scapulae together for 10 seconds**. (Figure B)**

C) Instructions for daily activities:

Patients were given home instructions regarding proper sitting, computer and telephone using, lifting and reading.

Figure 3 (A): Cervical retraction exercises

Figure 3 (B): Scapular retraction exercises

Statistical analysis:

Reported data were analyzed using Statistical Packagefor Social Sciences (SPSS) computer program (version24 windows) (Charles R Flint, New York, USA)using an intention-to-treat analysis (it constitutes an analysis of the results based on the treatment arm to which the patients belong due to the initial random allocation, and not on the treatment actually received). When postinterventiondata for fourpatient were missing, baseline scoreswere used.Potential differences in baseline demographic and clinical variablesbetween groups were examined using one way ANOVA.

Two ways MANOVA was used to examine the effectsof of treatment on pain, self reported disability, and muscle activities (RMS , MDF). The variable of interest was the group-by-time interaction at an a priori alpha level of .05. A bonferronipost hoc test was used to determine which group was superior when the interaction was significant. Individual paired t tests (two tailed) for each group were done to determine the magnitude of changes within each group. Effect size was determined using G power 3.1 software. All measurements were based on 95% confidence interval and 95% confidence level.

RESULTS

One hundred and eight consecutive patients were screened for eligibility criteria. Ninety patients (mean ± SD age, 27.49 ± 4.513 years; BMI, 28.21 ± 3.24; 47 males) satisfied the eligibility criteria, agreed to participate, and were randomized to group A: Kinesio Tape (n = 30) (age, 27.3 ± 4.46 years; BMI, 28.05 ±3.34; 17 males) , group B (n = 30): posture correction (age, 27.633 ± 3.96 years; BMI, 28.85 ± 2.99; 14 males) , and group C (n = 30): both modalities (age, 27.53 ± 5.18 years; BMI, 27.731 ± 3.38; 16 males). The reasons for ineligibility are found in a flow diagram of patient recruitment and retention**(Figure 4)**. There was no significant difference between groups for both demographic (age, BMI, sex) and measured variables at base line **(Table 1).**



Figure 4: A flow diagram of patient recruitment and retention

Multivariate tests for outcome measures indicate a statisticallysignificant group by time interaction (F= 3.61,P=0.00). Theunivariate group- by- time interaction was

statistically significant for VAS (F= 4.63, p =0.011), NDI (F= 5.37, P = 0.005) and MDF (F = 9.594, P= 0.00) but there was no statistical significant group by time interaction for RMS (F = 1.55, P =.215).

Post hoc tests revealed that mean values of VAS was significantly reduced in patients received both modalities (groups C) when compared to paients in group B who received posture correction exercises (p= 0.025), Regarding disability, mean values of NDI was significantly reduced in groups A and C when compared to group B ($p < 0.01$ and $p = 0.034$). While mean values of RMS was significantly reduced in group C when compared to group B (p= 0.037), MDF was significantly increased in group C when compared to either group A (P =.001) or B (P = 0.00).

Paired t test revealed that there was a statistical significant decrease in the mean values of VAS, NDI, RMS and significant increase in mean values of MDF in all groups (p= 0<01).

Table 1: Demographic and base line features of the three studied groups

	Group A (n= 30)	Group B (n= 30)	Group C (n= 30)	P value
Sex	17 males and 13 females	14 males and 16 females	16 males and 14 females	.59
Age (yrs.)	27.3 ± 4.46	27.63 ± 3.96	27.53 ± 5.18	.959
BMI (Kg/m^2)	28.05 ± 3.34	28.85 ± 2.99	27.73 ± 3.38	.39
Pain intensity	6.17 ± 1.15	6.2 ± 1.06	6.2 ± 1.08	.974
Neck disability	27.43 ± 6.31	30.74 ± 8.2	30.71 ± 6.09	.110
UT RMS	10.22 ± 3.68	9.84 ± 4.79	9.9043 ± 4.65	.938
UT MDF	59.43 ± 21.21	57.27 ± 11.46	59.15 ± 11.68	.842

Data are expressed as mean ± SD.
p> 0.05= not significant.
UT: Upper trapezius

RMS: root mean square.
MDF: median frequency.

Table 2: ***Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA) for all dependent variables at different measuring periods between studied groups.***

Source of Variation	F-value	P-value
Groups	5.19	0.000*
Measuring periods	127.78	0.000*
Interaction (group*time)	3.6	0.000*

***Significant at alpha level <0.05**.

Table 3:post intervention, within-group and group by time interaction for pain intensity, disability, UT RMS and UT MDF

Variable and Group	**Pre-ttt**	**Post- ttt**	**Within group change**			**Group * time interaction**	
			t	**p**	**MD (95%CI)**	**F**	**P**
Pain						4.63	0.01*
A	6.1 ± 1.25	3.06 ± 0.85	18.33	0.001*	3.03 (2.8-3.27)		
B	6.1 ± 1.2	3.45 ± 0.96	24.05	0.00*	2.65 (2.31-2.98)		
C [b]	3.5 ± 0.96	2.41± 0.71	21.25	0.00*	3.75 (3.46-4.04)		
Disability						5.37	0.005 *
A	26.93 ± 6.39	15.32± 2.32	45.08	0.00*	11.62 (9.4-13.8)		
B[A]	30.7 ± 8.1	20.1 ± 8.2	83.56	0.00*	10.7 (9.6-11.8)		
C[,B]	31.2 ± 6.4	13.9 ± 3	104.2	0.00*	17.3 (15.7-18.9)		
UT RMS						1.55	0.215
A	11.05 ± 8.46	4.35 ± 2.94	13.32	0.00*	6.7 (4.2-9.2)		
B	11.68 ± 7.13	6.87 ± 4.34	15.92	0.00*	4.8 (3.4-6.2)		
C[B]	10.9 ± 5.3	2.7 ± 1.27	16.02	0.00*	8.2 (6.2-10.2)		
UT MDF						9.59	0.00*
A	61.59 ± 23	76.1 ± 21.7	3.46	0.00*	14.5 (4.2-23)		
B	57 .3 ± 11.3	75.6 ± 10.92	9.9	0.00*	18.3 (22.7-14.6)		
C[A,B]	60.4 ± 14.53	111.1± 48.7	7.36	0.00*	50.7 (64.7-36.6)		

Data are expressed as mean ± SD.
F value= 2 way ANOVAtest.
t value= paired t test.
*p< 0.05= significant.
[B,C] = significant difference relative to groups B and C (p< 0.05)

MD .mean difference

95%CI=95% confidence interval

DISCUSION

This study was conducted to examine the efficacy of kinesio tape with and without postural correction exercises on pain, disability, UT muscle activity and fatigue in patients with MND.Our results suggested that KT with or without postural correction exercises might be an alternative treatmentoption in the treatment of MND. However, we recommend treatment of MND by both modalities combined because it has better effects to decrease pain, disability and also to normalize cervical muscle activities than application of either intervention alone.

There were a number of explanations for these findings. Neck pain is commonly associated with protectivespasm in the surrounding muscles producing pressurewithin the muscle, thus developing ischemia,more pain, and abnormal neck posture. This viciouscycle that can occur in reverse, may be broken byrelieving the pain, by reducing the muscle spasm, or bycorrecting the abnormal neck posture.[1,3]

The effect of KT:

The cutaneous stretch stimulation provided by KT may interfere with the transmission of mechanical and painful stimuli. KT may provide afferent impulses inhibiting pain through gait control theory.Furthermore, KT increase lymphatic and vascular flow, and aid in the correction of possible articular malalignments.[18,23,37] thus, it improves functional abilities of patients.

While MND was found to be associated with altered muscular activities[11], KT may normalize muscle function through two main mechanisms. The first is mechanical; taping influence the length of muscle fibres, inducing a shift of the length-tension curve of those muscles changing the relative position of subsequent joints or directly by influencing the direction of muscle fibers. The second mechanism, called "proprioceptive", considers the amplification of kinesthetic information reaching the central nervous system through taping-induced cutaneous stimulation.[38]

This agrees with the results of Lin, et al[39]who suggested effect of KT on shoulder muscles EMG.Paoloni[23]stated that, KT alter lumber muscles activities and affect low back pain. Also, Tayloret al[40] suggest KT for neck and shoulder pain. Our results agree with Mariana, et al[41] showed that both massage and kinesio tape decrease pain and increase range of motion in MND.

On the other hand, the results of the systemic review conducted by Parreira et al [42]did not support the use of KT in clinical practice. Fu, et al[43]showed that Kinesio taping on the anterior thigh has no effete on muscle strength in healthy non-injured young athletes. In the previous studies the possible explanation that they got different results may be due to applying kinesio tape on healthy subjects in many studies. Furthermore, the methods of assessment they used were different from that we used**.**

The effect of postural correction exercises:

Frequent correction to an upright neutral posture serves two functions. First, It provides a regular reduction of adverse loads on the cervical joints induced by poor cervical and scapular postures. Second, it trains the deep postural stabilizing muscles of the spine in their supporting role. Patients are encouraged to perform these exercises repeatedly throughout the day, with the emphasis being on a change in postural habits.[4,44]We suggest the effect due to neutral postural awareness that relieve the tension causing pain.

Our results agree with the results of Katherineet al[45]. Abd El-wahab, et al [46] concluded that, Neck retractions appeared to alter H reflex amplitude so; it may be used for C_7 radiculopathy. In contrast, Willford, et al[47] did not support correlation between head posture and neck pain. The discrepancy and conflict found in the results obtained by the previous study cannot be directly compared with the current study. It was a correlational study and not true experimental study which look for a degree of association between variables without the ability to ascribe cause and effect.

The effect of both modalities combined:

Taping may act as continuous analgesic stimulus on neck muscles as our patients were taped continuously for

four weeks.The neural feedback provided
to the patients can facilitate their ability to move the cervical spine with a reduced mechanical irritation on the soft tissues thus improving the efficiency of postural correction exercises. Continuous sensory feedback of the KT allows the tape to correct postural imbalance.[23,24]

There is lack in the literature regarding studies that combine kinesio tape with postural correction exercises. However, Greenstein et al [25] recommended application of kinesio taping immediately following cervical mobilization. Also,Added et al[48] suggested adding KT to physical therapy program for mechanical low back pain.

Limitation of the study:

The duration of the interventions was 4 weeks to find the short term effects. No follow up was done to know the long lasting effect and recurrence of symptoms.Another important limitation may be heterogeneity related to the etiology of MND.

CONCLUSION:

Although KT is promising for MND treatment, Application of both KT and postural correction exercises program combined lead to greater reduction in pain severity and disability and to better muscle function restoration than application of either KT or exercises program separately.

Funding support

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or medical for-profit sectors.

Conflict of Interest:

The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1- Genebra CV, Maciela NM, Bento TP, Simeão P, Vitta AD. Prevalence and factors associated with neck pain: a population-based study. *Braz J PhysTher*.2017;21(4):274-80.doi: 10.1016/j.bjpt.2017.05.005

2- Hurwitz EL, Carragee EJ, van der Velde G, Carroll LJ, Nordin M, Guzman J, et al. Treatment of neck pain: noninvasive interventions: results of the Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 task force on neck pain and its associated disorders. *Spine*. 2008; 33(4): S123–52. doi: 10.1097/ BRS.0b013e3181644b1d.

3- Cohen SP, Hooten WM. Advances in the diagnosis and management of neck pain. *BMJ*. 2017;358:j3221**.** doi:10.1136/bmj.j3221.

4- Mclean L. The effect of postural correction on muscle activation amplitudes recorded from the cervicobrachial region.*J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol.* 2005; 15 (6): 527-35.http: //dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2005.06.003.

5- Javanshir K, Amiri M, MohseniBandpei MA, De lasPenas CF, Rezasoltani A. The effect of different exercise programs on cervical flexor muscles dimensions in patients with chronic neck pain. *J Back MusculoskeletRehabil*. 2015; 28(4):833–40. DOI 10.3233/BMR-150593.

6- Gross A, Kay TM, Paquin JP, Blanchette S, Lalonde P, Christie T, et al. Exercises for mechanical neck disorders. *Cochrance Database Syst Rev*. 2015; 28;1:CD004250. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004250.pub5.

7- Gross AR, Paquin JP, Dupont DG, Blanchette S, Lalonde P, Cristie T, et al. Exercises for mechanical neck disorders. A Cochrane review update. *Man Ther.* 2016; 24: 25-45. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.math.2016.04.005.

8- Eltayeb S, Staal JB, Hassan A, de Bie RA. Work related risk factors for neck, shoulder and arms complaints: a cohort study among Dutch computer office workers. *J. Occup. Rehabil*. 2009;19 (4): 315-22.doi: 10.1007/s10926-009-9196-x.

9- Kumar S, Prasad N. Cervical EMG profile differences between patients

of neck pain and Control. *DisabilRehabil*. 2010;32(25):2078-87.doi: 10.3109/09638288.2010.481029.

10- Zakharova-Luneva E, Jull G, Johnston V, O'Leary S. Altered trapezius muscle behavior in individuals with neck pain and clinical signs of scapular dysfunction. *J Manipulative PhysiolTher*. 2012;35 (5):346-53. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmpt.2012.04.011

11- Hodges PW, Tucker K. Moving differently in pain: A new theory toexplain the adaptation to pain. *Pain*. 2011;152(3 Suppl):S90-S98. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2010.10.02

12- Tsang SM, Szeto GP, Lee RY. Altered spinal kinematics and muscle recruitment pattern of the cervical and thoracic spine in people with chronic neck pain during functional task. *J ElectromyogrKinesiol*. 2014;24(1):104-13.

13- Westgaard RH, Vasseljen O, Holte KA. Trapezius muscle activity as a risk indicator for shoulder and neck pain in female service workers with low biomechanical exposure. *Ergonomics.* 2001; 44(3): 339–53. doi: 10.1080/00140130119649.

14- Zakharova-Luneva E, Jull G, Johnston V, O'Leary S. Altered Trapezius Muscle Behavior in Individuals with Neck Pain and Clinical Signs of Scapular Dysfunction. *J Manipulative PhysiolTher*. 2012;35(5):346-53. doi:10.1016/j.jmpt.2012.04.011.

15- Hanvold TN, Wærsted M, Mengshoel AM, Bjertness E, Stigum H, Twisk J, et al.The effect of work-related sustained trapezius muscle activity on the development of neck and shoulder pain among young adults. *Scand J Work Environ Health*.201339(4):390-400. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3357**.**

16- Nicoletti C, Spengler C, Läubli T. Physical workload, trapezius muscle activity, and neck pain in nurses'night and day shifts: A physiological evaluation. *ApplErgon.* 2014; 45(3):741-6.. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2013.09.016.

17- Gerdle B, Fugel-Meyer AR. Is the mean frequency shift of the EMG a selective indicator of fatigue of the

fast twitch motor units? *A ctaPhysiol Scand*. 1992;145(2):129-38.

18- Kase K, Wallis J, Kase T. (2003) Clinical Therapeutic Applications of the Kinesio Taping Method. Ken Ikai Co Ltd, Tokyo.

19- Hashemirad F, Karimi N, Keshavarz R. The effect of Kinesio taping technique on trigger points of the piriformis muscle. *J BodywMovTher*. 2016;20(4):807-14. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2016.02.002.

20- Wu WT, Hong CZ, Chou LW.The kinesio taping method for myofascial pain control. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.*2015; Article ID 950519, 9 pages. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/950519.

21- Kaya E, Zinnuroglu M, Tugeu I. Kinesio taping comparing to physical therapy modalities for the treatment of the shoulder impingement syndrome. *ClinRheumatol.* 2011; 30(2): 201–7. doi: 10.1007/s10067-010-1475-6.

22- González-Iglesias J, Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Cleland JA،Huijbregts P, del Rosario Gutiérrez-Vega M. Short-term effects of cervical kinesio taping on pain and, cervical range of motion in patients with acute whiplash injury: a randomized clinical trial. *J Orthop Sports PhysTher*. 2009;39(7): 515–20. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2009.3072.

23- Paoloni M, Bernetti A, Fratocchi G. Kinesio taping applied to lumber muscles influences clinical and electromyographic characteristics in chronic low back pain patients. *Eur J PhysRehabil Med*. 2011; 47(2): 237–44

24- Saavedra-Hernandez M, Castro-Sanchez A, Arroyo-Morales MA, Cleland JC, Lara-Palomo I, Fernandez-De-Las-Penas C. Short-term effects of kinesio taping versus cervical thrust manipulation in patients with mechanical neck pain: a randomized clinical trial. *J Orthop Sports PhysTher*. 2012;42(8): 724–30. doi:10.2519/jospt.2012.4086.

25- Greenstein J, McNamara T, Bishop B, Etnoyer-Slaski J, Topp R. The Effect of Kinesiology Tape on Pain and Neck Range of Motion After Cervical Manipulation. *JPHR*. 2017; 1(1): 18–25.DOI: 10.25036/jphr.2017.1.1.

26- Ay S, Konak HE, Evcik D, Kibar S. The effectiveness of Kinesio Taping

on pain and disability in cervical myofascial pain syndrome. *Rev Bras Reumatol.*2016 .pii: S0482-5004(16)00042-5. doi: 10.1016/j.rbr.2015.12.004.

27- Takasaki H, Delbridge B, Johnston V (2015) Taping across the upper trapezius muscle reduces activity during a standardized typing task – An assessor-blinded randomized cross-over study. *J ElectromyogrKinesiol*25(1): 115-20. doi: 10.1016/j. jelekin.2014.10.004.

28- Van Roo J, Lazio MP, Pesce C, Malik S, Courtney DM. Visual Analog Scale (VAS) for Assessment of Acute Mountain Sickness (AMS) on Aconcagua. *WildernessEnviron Med*. 2011;22(1):7–14.doi: 10.1016/j.wem.2010.10.002.

29- Marqui M, Duarte LR, Marin C, Lauquec D, Lauque D, Sorum P. How patients and physicians rate patients' pain in a French emergency department using a verbally administered numerical rating scale and a visual analog scale. *Acute Pain*. 2008;10(1):31-7. doi:10.1016/j.acpain.2008.01.003.

30- En MC, Clair DA, Edmondston SJ. Validity of the Neck Disability Index and Neck Pain and Disability Scale for measuring disability associated with chronic, non-traumatic neck pain. *Man Ther*. 2009;14(4):433–8. doi:10.1016/j.math.2008.07.005.

31- Swanenburg j, Humphreys k, Langenfeld A, Brunner F, Wirth B. Validity and reliability of a German version of the Neck Disability Index (NDI-G). *Man Ther*. 2014;19(1):52-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.math.2013.07.004.

32- Szeto G, Straker L, O'Sullivan P. Examining the low, high and range measures of muscle activity amplitudes in symptomatic and asymptomatic computer users performing typing and mousing tasks. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2009;106(2):243–51. DOI 10.1007/s00421-009-1019-4.

33- González-Iglesias J, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Cleland JA, Huijbregts P, Del Rosario Gutiérrez-Vega M. Short-term effects of cervical kinesio taping on pain and, cervical range of motion in patients with acute whiplash

injury: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Orthop Sports PhysTher*. 2009; 39(7):515-20. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2009.3072.

34- Dawood R, Kattabei O, Nasef S, Battarjee K, Abdelraouf O. Effectiveness of kinesio taping versus cervical traction on mechanical neck dysfunction. *IJTRR*. 2013;2(2):1-5. DOI: 10.5455/ijtrr.00000019.

35- Pearson ND, Walmsley RP. Trial into the effects of repeated neck retractions in normal subjects. *Spine*. 1995;02(11):1245-50.

36- Fallaa D, O'Learya S, Fagana A, Jull G. Recruitment of the deep cervical flexor muscles during a postural-correction exercise performed in sitting. *Man Thera.* 2007; 12(2):139–43. doi:10.1016/j.math.2006.06.003.

37- Garcıa-Muro F, Rodrıguez-Fernandez A, Herrero-de-Lucas A. Treatment of myofascial pain in the shoulder with Kinesio Taping. A case report. *Man Ther.* 2010;15(3): 292–5. doi:10.1016/j.math.2009.09.002.\

38- Gusella A, Bettuolo M, Contiero F, Volpe G. Kinesiologic taping and muscular activity: a myofascial hypothesis and a randomised, blinded trial on healthy individuals. *J Body MovTher.* 2014;18(3):405-11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbmt.2013.11.007.

39- Lin JJ, Hung CJ, Yang PL. The effects of scapular taping on electromyographic muscle activity and proprioception feedback in healthy shoulders. *J Orthop Res*. 2011; 29(1):53-7.doi: 10.1002/jor.21146.

40- Taylor RL , O'Brien L, Brown T. A scoping review of the use of elastic therapeutic tape for neck or upper extremity conditions. *J Hand Ther*. 2014;27(3):235-45. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jht.2014.03.004.

41- Mariana C, Carmen-Oana T. Massage versus kinesio taping. possibilities to enhance the kinetic program in mechanically triggered neck pain. *ProcediaSocBehav Sci*. 2014;117:639 – 45.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.02.275

42- ParreiraPdo C, Costa Lda C, Hespanhol LC Jr, Lopes AD, Costa

LO. Current evidence does not support the use of Kinesio Taping in clinical practice: a systematic review. *J Physiother* . 2014;60(1):31-9http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jphys.2013.12.008.

43- Fu T, Wong A, Pei Y, Wu K, Chou S, Lin Y. Effect of Kinesio taping on muscle strength in athletes–A pilot study. *J Sci Med Sport*.2008; 11(2): 198-201. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2007.02.011.

44- Morningstar M. Cervical curve restoration and forward head posture reduction for the treatment of mechanical thoracic pain using the pettibon corrective and rehabilitative procedures. *J Chiropr Med*. 2002;1(3):113–15. doi: 10.1016/S0899-3467(07)60013-5.

45- Katherine H, Kozey C, Butler H. Effectiveness of an Exercise Program to Improve Forward Head Posture in Normal Adults: A Randomized, Controlled 10-Week Trial. *J. Man. Manipulative Ther*.2005;13:163-76.

46- Abdulwahab S, Sabbahi M. Neck retractions, cervical root decompression, and radicular pain. *J Orthop Sports PhysTher*. 2000;30(1):4-9. DOI: 10.2519 /jospt.2000.30.1.4.

47- Willford CH, Kisner C, Glenn TM, Sachs L. The interaction of wearing multifocal lenses with head posture and pain. *J Orthop Sports Phys The*. 1996;23(3):194–9. doi:10.2519/jospt.1996.23.3.194.

48- Added MA, Costa LO, Fukuda TY, de Freitas DG, Salomão EC, Monteiro RL,. Efficacy of Adding the Kinesio Taping Method to Guideline-Endorsed Conventional Physiotherapy in Patients with Chronic Nonspecific Low Back Pain: a Randomised Controlled Trial. *BMC MusculoskeletDisord*. 2013; 24;14:301. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-14-301.

المستخلص

الخلفية: يعتبر خلل الرقبة الوظيفي الميكانيكي ومايصاحبه من اعتلال وظائف عضلات لوح الكتف المحورية مشكلة صحية كبيره.بينما تعد تمرينات تصحيح القوام نهج علاجي شائع لهذا الاختلال فقد اثارت فاعلية لاصقة الكينيسو اهتماما كبيرا.**الغرض:** تحديد فاعلية لاصقة الكينيسيو مع او بدون تمرينات تصحيح القوام علي درجة الالم - عجز الرقبة الوظيفي وانشطة العضلة شبه المنحرفة العلوية في مرضي الخلل الوظيفي الميكانيكي للرقبة0 **منهج البحث:** قد شارك تسعون ممن يعانون من الخلل الوظيفي الميكانيكي للرقبه تتراوح اعمارهم من 18-40 سنه حيث قسموا عشوائيا الي ثلاثة مجموعات متساويه تلقي كل منهم جلستي علاج اسبوعيا لمده اربعة اسابيع حيث تلقت مجموعه أ: لاصقة الكينيسيو وتلقت مجموعة ب: تمرينات تصحيح القوام بينما تلقت مجموعة ج: كليهما وتم قياس كل من: مدي الالم - عجز الرقبة الوظيفيوايضا الانشطة العضليه للعضلة شبه المنحرفة العلوية باستخدام كل من مقياس التناظر البصري - استبيان مؤشر العجز الوظيفي للرقبة وجهاز قياس النشاط العضلي الكهربي قبل وبعد العلاج . وقد تم تحليل النتائج احصائيا واجراء المقارنات بين المجموعات باستخدام تحليل التناين الثنائي المتعدد بينما استخدم اختبار ت للعينات المرتبطه لايضاح الفروق داخل كل مجموعة. **النتائج:** اظهرت النتائج تغير ذو دلالة احصائية حيث قل معدل الالم في المجموعة الثالثة عن الثانية – وقل عجز الرقبة الوظيفي في المجموعت الاولي والثالثة عن الثانية وقد حظيت المجموعة الثالثة باقصي معدل لاعتدال وظائف العضلة شبه المنحرفة العلوية وبالنسبة للمقارنات داخل كل مجموعة فقد كانت كل التغيرات ذات دلال احصائية في كل المجموعات . **الخلاصة** : توصي النتائج باستخدام كل من لاصقة الكينيسو وتمرينات تصحيح القوام مجتمعين لعلاج الخلل الوظيفي الميكانيكي للرقبه حيث يؤدي الي نتائج افضل من استخدام ايا منهما منفردا.