# Mobile Phoneraysversus Wi-Fi Waves on Brain Structure, Motor and Behaviourdevelopment of Infant Rabbits: Experimental Study

*Manal S. Abd El-Wahab<sup>1</sup>; AbeerAbd El-Rahman<sup>2</sup>Wafaa M. Hassan<sup>3</sup> and RamyT.Soliman<sup>1</sup>* <sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Therapy for Pediatrics, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University. <sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Therapy for Basic Science, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University.

<sup>3</sup>Animal Health Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Doki.

#### ABSTRACT

Background: Electro-magnetic radiations have an effect on brain structure and motor development of infant rabbits. The aim: This study aimed to compare between different duration exposure to cell phone rays and Wi-Fi waves on brainstructureand motor behavior development of infant rabbits. Methods: From a Rabbitry of 300 mothers' capacity, 120 rabbits were selected to participate in the current study. They were divided into six homogeneous comparable experimental groups (20 in each) (Study groups 1, 2 and 3 were exposed to mobile phoneradiation, 900 MHZ for 2, 4 and 6 hours daily respectively, Study groups 4, 5 and 6 were exposed to Wi-Fi radiation, 2500 MHZ for 2, 4 and 6 hours daily respectively). The Experiments were lasted 6 weeks, a week for preparation the field work and to make all mothers nurse only five infant rabbits. Rabbit mothers with litter size at birth more than five bunnies (newborns) were still adopting another mother, whereas the mothers with litter size at birth less than five bunnies (newborns) were adopting other bunnies. The actual duration of experimental work represented in exposing animals to different electro-magnetic radiations and collecting data were five weeks.In this study motor development was measured by hindlimb motor function; locomotion and circular motion, and brain histology regarding neural apoptosis was assessed, respectively in both groups after each time exposure. The results: Before the study, there was no significant difference in the mean values of all variables among the six groups. After study there were significantly (P≤0.5 or 0.1) harmful in each parameters studied represented in values of each of (hindlimb motor functions; locomotion and circular motion).WiFi groups recorded the worst value in both parameters studied (motor development and neural stress revealed by apoptosis in histological examination), compared by mobile phone. The harmful effects of electro-magnetic radiation were increased by increasing exposure time in all studied groups (mobile phone and WIFI groups). Conclusion: Electro-magnetic radiations result in marked disturbances of motor development and brain structure in infant rabbits that result from exposure to cell phone and Wi-Firadiation at different duration interval.WiFi radiation recorded bad effects more than those of mobile phone radiation, in motor function and brain structure.

**Key Words:** Electro-magnetic radiation, Cell phone rays, Wi-Fi waves, Brain structure, Motor development, Infant rabbits.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The mobile phone is one of the growing technological fastest developments become that have popular and necessary in modern life. The fourth generation (4G) is the latest application of mobile phone technologies. The 3G & 4G mobile telecommunication system is developed for a variety of services such as voice and high-speed data transmission, Internet and multimedia applications which require high speed and bandwidth. The 3G & 4Gapplications launched can emit continuous electromagnetic radiation to the environment [1; 2; 3].Side of mobile phone effects usage increased the concerns on health and quality of life. Potential consequences electromagnetic after radiation exposure have been reported in many studies and brain is one of the most commonly discussed tissues[3& 4]. Neuronal damage and oxidative stress have been shown by several studies[4; 5& 6]. Another device that's being used on a daily basis in every home or institute all over the world is the Wi-Fi device which is used to connect many computers in an institute or for transmission of internet by a wireless way [6].

Electromagnetic waves that are emitted from cell phones ranges from 900 MHz to 1.9 GHz while that emitted from Wi-Fi devices are of 2.4 GHz. These waves have thermal and non-thermal effects [3& 7].Using mobile phones and Wi-Fi have become an essential part of our daily living activities. All these devices are working by emitting electromagnetic waves which vary in their wave intensity. and lengths Many researchers have been carried out to investigate the effect of these waves on the brain. Many studies approved the negative effects on the brain tissues and oxidative capacity [3& 4]., but none of them was conducted to compare the structural and behavior changes that result from exposure to cell phone and Wi-Fi at different duration interval.

There are some studies on the health effects on peopleliving near cell phone towers[8]. Though cell technology hasbeen in existence since the late 1980s, the first study of populationsnear cell tower base stations only conducted was bySantini, et al [8].It was prompted in part by complaints of adverse effects experienced by residents living near cellbase stations throughout the world increased activismby and

citizens[9].[10 & 11]were looking to identify neurobehavioral deficits inpeople living near cell phone base stations in Egypt. Their results found a neuropsychiatric prevalence of complaints:headaches, memory dizziness, changes, tremors, depressivesymptoms, and sleep disturbance were significantlyhigher among exposed inhabitants. A study showed that when people used a cell phone for 50 minutes, brain tissues metabolized more glucose. This may cause negative health outcomes on brain tissues. [12]reported histological changes in the different visceral organs including heart, lung, liver and kidney of rats exposed to radio frequency radiation for 4weeks (1h/day).Pre-school children may also be considered to be more sensitiveto any negative health effects than adults because of thegreatest absorption of mobile phone radiation. Furthermore, depending on the size of a child's head, there can be the headresonance effect and greater ease in penetration of GlobalSystem for Mobile Telecommunication radiation to the thinner skull of an infant. Particularly inthe developmental conception (from stages to adulthood), their brain tissues, with high content of water and ions, absorbmore electromagnetic radiation at mobile phone frequencies [13]. Increased intensity and extended duration of exposureto RF radiation during these stages may lead to inherited disordersby altering the conformation of structural molecules.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 1. Subject:

This Experimental work was conductedata Commercial Rabbitry (300 mothers capacity), Mansoura, Dakahlia Governorate, Egypt, while the Laboratory investigation was carried out at Animal Health Research Institute, (AHRI), Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

All rabbitswere comparable experimental homogeneous animals (No: P.T.REC/012/002109).

The animals were eligible to participate in the study under the following:

# Inclusion criteria:

- Average age 1 week.
- Without any congenital anomalies.
- Physically normal
- Both genders were involved

# 2. Procedures:

All animals were housed in a specialized rabbit cage with controlled temperature and lighting (12 Hrs light/dark cycle). Rabbits were allowed to access to food and water.

The study groups were established as follows:

Animals were divided into six homogeneous comparable experimental groups (20 in each) as follow:-

• Study groups 1, 2 and 3 were exposed to mobile phoneradiations, 900 MHZ for 2, 4 and 6 hours daily respectively.

• Study groups 4, 5 and 6 were exposed to Wi-Fi radiations2500 MHZ for 2, 4 and 6 hours daily respectively.

The Experiments were lasted 6 weeks. It occurred 6 hours daily, mobile phone & Wi-Fi device were placed in an intermediate position between the groups to be studied.

# **Instruments for evaluation**

#### Assessment of motor behavior:

-It was done by using Hindlimb motor function scoring system of rabbit [14]. Motor function was evaluated by a fivepoint scale of motor impairment ranging from normal function to total paralysis of the hind legs. Animals were placed one at a time on a  $(0.7 \times 0.9 \text{ m})$  paper-covered mattress on a table.

The first motor score was calculated by observing each animal for 1 min in the open field.

0- Thereare no noticeable movements at all.

1- There are barely visible movements at any hind limb joints (hip, knee or ankle).

2- There are obvious movements of one or more hind limb joints (hip, knee or ankle) in one or both limbs, but no co-ordination, alternate stepping movements or weight bearing were observed. This included movements ranging from only one joint to movements in all the joints of the lower limbs.

3- If there are alternate stepping and forward propulsive movements of the hind-limbs, but no weight bearing. In this case the hindlimbs will be externally rotated and the animal will use the hind limbs for forward propulsion. There will be no plantar placement of the feet. Rabbits scoring 3 will use the tips (nails) of the middle three toes for forward movement.

4- If they are able to bear weight on their hind-limbs and can walk with some deficit. There will be plantar placement of the feet. Deficit will include slight external rotation of one or both limbs and/or hip instability.

5- If it is not possible to differentiate the injured from a normal animal except reduced mobility they will be scored 5.

Rabbits demonstrated normal movement (score 5) were then assessed using steel bars (50 cm long and 3 mm thick) with decreasing widths: 15cm, 12cm, 8cm, 6 cm and 4 cm. The rabbits were required to walk on the bars and the narrowest bar they can traverse without any slips, in at least two trials, were recorded. If the rabbits, instead of walking, tried to climb under the bar it was considered as a failure, i.e., an inability to walk on the bar.

- 6- If the animals can walk on the 15-cm bar
- 7 Can walk on a 12-cm-wide-bar
- 8 Can walk on a 8-cm-wide-bar
- 9 Can walk on a 6-cm-wide-bar
- 10 Can walk on a 4-cm-wide-bar [14].

## - Locomotion

Locomotion on a flat surface was assessed by grading the amount of spontaneous movement of the head, trunk, and limbs.

0- No movement and Moves head

1- Slight movement and Moves front legs

2- Distinct movement, showing good range of motion and Moves back legs

3- Rapid movement, entire range of motion [14& 15]

#### - Circular motion

0-No movement, Straight line forward motion

1- Slight movement, slight jump, Jumping and jerky motions

2- Good range of motion, maintains for one to two steps, occasional jump

3- Entire range of motion, maintains for at least three 3 steps **[14; 15& 16]** 

#### **Tissue Analysis**

At the end of the 6<sup>th</sup> week, all rabbits will be sacrificed by general anesthesia, The brains were removed from the skullby nontraumatic technique (resection of bonestructures at the skull base, followed by amidline incision from the foramen magnumto the nose) after an extended in sit postmortemfixation time of 30 min [**17**].

Brain samples were taken from four rabbits in each group directly after slaughtering and fixed in 10% neutralized formalin. The tissues were then dehydrated with increasing concentrations of ethyl alcohol (50, 75, 98 and 100%), cleared in xylene and embedded in paraffin wax. Each brain was sectioned coronally in 50  $\mu$ m-thick and stained with hematoxylin, eosin and cresyl violet then examined morphometrically under a light microscope (Nikon Eclipse E-200) with attached camera (Nikon, 1103473, Japan) **[18; 19; 20& 21].** 

Dark stained neurons indicated neuronal damage.

## Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance according to [22] using the General Linear Model Program of [23]. Duncan's new multiple range tests were used to test the significance of the differences among means [24]. Data presented as percentages were transformed to the corresponding arcsine values **[25]** before being statistically analyzed.

#### RESULTS

#### 1. Motor Development:

Hindlimb motor functions; locomotion and circular motion significantly (P $\leq$ 0.5 or 0.1) decreased in descending order due to expose to EMR of mobile phoneand WiFi, respectively at the three different time exposure (2, 4 and 6 hours). The highest (P≤0.5 or 0.1) values indicated hindlimb motor functions; locomotion and circular motion of infant NZW rabbits exposed to different sources of EMR (mobile phone and WiFi) were recorded in the 4<sup>th</sup> week of age, while the lowest ( $P \le 0.5$  or 0.1) values were recorded in the  $2^{nd}$  week of age. Values of hindlimb motor functions; locomotion and circular motion of infant NZW rabbits recorded in the 5<sup>th</sup> week of age were insignificantly higher than those obtained during the 3<sup>rd</sup> week.

There were no significant differences on values of each ofhindlimb motor functions; locomotion and circular motion of infant NZW rabbits up to weaning, due to the interaction among sources of EMR and times of exposure (Table 1, and Figures 4; 5& 6).

#### **Brain Histology:**

In our current study (Figures 1; 2& the(hematoxylin&eosin) 3), and cresylvioletstaining of the hippocampalregion clearly showedinterspersed, deeply stained, shrunken cells, whichclearly indicates the degenerative changes in these areas. Dark staining was more prominent in groups exposed to WiFi than those exposed to

mobile phone radiations. The exact mechanism responsible for thisdegeneration has to be investigated; perhaps themechanism is through reactive oxygen species.

Markedmorphologicalchanges were detected in the CA3 region of the hippocampus of the -EMR-exposed rabbits. Thehippocampus of RF-EMR-exposed rabbits showedshrunken, darkly stained neurons.

The cresylvioletand H &Estaining revealed scatteredand grouped dark neurons, which wereoften shrunken and darkly stained, homogenizedwith loss of discernible internal cell structures.Here are some slides with description beneath each slide to show difference in effect between exposure to mobile and WiFi radiations.

Table 1. Effect of different time exposure to different electro-magnetic radiations (EMR), onhindlimb motor functions, locomotion and circular motion of infant New-ZealandWhite rabbits, up to weaning, (Means ± SE).

Age (week)	Time of	Treatments &Source of Exposure to EMR								
	Exposure	Hindlimb Motor Functions			Locomotion			Circular Motion		
	to EMW (Hrs/ Day)	Mobile (M) 900 MHZ	Wi Fi (W) 2500 MHZ	Means ± SE	Mobile (M) 900 MHZ	Wi Fi (W) 2.5 GHZ	Means ± SE	Mobile (M) 900 MHZ	Wi Fi (W) 2.5 GHZ	Means ± SE
2 <sup>nd</sup> week	2	4.52±0.04	$4.40\pm0.02$	4.46±0.01 <sup>A</sup>	3.97±0.02	$3.69 \pm 0.04$	3.83±0.02 <sup>A</sup>	1.17±0.02	1.13±0.02	1.15±0.01 <sup>A</sup>
	4	4.46±0.02	4.37±0.03	4.42±0.02 <sup>B</sup>	3.89±0.02	$3.54 \pm 0.03$	3.72±0.02 <sup>B</sup>	1.10±0.01	$1.09 \pm 0.03$	$1.10\pm0.01^{B}$
	6	4.43±0.02	4.30±0.02	4.37±0.01 <sup>°</sup>	3.87±0.04	$3.50 \pm 0.02$	3.69±0.01 <sup>°</sup>	$1.07 \pm 0.01$	$1.02 \pm 0.01$	1.05±0.01 <sup>°</sup>
Means ± SE		<b>4.47±0.02</b> <sup>a</sup>	4.36±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	4.42±0.01	<b>3.91±0.02</b> <sup>a</sup>	3.58±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	3.75±0.01	$1.11 \pm 0.01^{a}$	$1.08 \pm 0.02^{b}$	1.10±0.01
3 <sup>rd</sup> weeks	2	4.49±0.05	4.39±0.04	4.44±0.03 <sup>A</sup>	3.95±0.03	3.61±0.03	3.78±0.02 <sup>A</sup>	1.79±0.03	1.63±0.03	1.71±0.02 <sup>A</sup>
	4	4.41±0.02	4.30±0.04	4.36±0.03 <sup>B</sup>	3.80±0.03	$3.58 \pm 0.03$	3.69±0.03 <sup>B</sup>	$1.68 \pm 0.02$	$1.61 \pm 0.02$	1.65±0.01 <sup>B</sup>
	6	4.40±0.03	$4.28 \pm 0.05$	4.34±0.02 <sup>°</sup>	3.72±0.03	$3.49 \pm 0.04$	<b>3.61±0.03</b> <sup>C</sup>	1.62±0.03	$1.56 \pm 0.02$	1.59±0.03 <sup>°</sup>
Means ± SE		4.43±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	$4.32\pm0.03^{b}$	4.38±0.02	<b>3.82±0.02</b> <sup>a</sup>	$3.56 \pm 0.02^{b}$	3.69±0.01	1.70±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	1.60±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	1.65±0.02
4 <sup>th</sup> weeks	2	5.99±0.07	5.81±0.09	5.90±0.05 <sup>A</sup>	3.72±0.04	3.53±0.03	3.63±0.02 <sup>A</sup>	2.04±0.03	1.77±0.04	<b>1.91±0.02</b> <sup>A</sup>
	4	5.81±0.05	$5.69 \pm 0.04$	5.75±0.03 <sup>B</sup>	3.64±0.03	$3.50 \pm 0.03$	3.57±0.01 <sup>B</sup>	2.01±0.04	$1.69 \pm 0.02$	1.85±0.02 <sup>B</sup>
	6	5.76±0.05	$5.61 \pm 0.05$	5.69±0.03 <sup>°</sup>	3.61±0.05	$3.41 \pm 0.05$	<b>3.51±0.03</b> <sup>C</sup>	$1.94 \pm 0.03$	$1.65 \pm 0.02$	<b>1.80±0.02</b> <sup>C</sup>
Means ± SE		5.85±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	5.70±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	5.78±0.02	<b>3.66±0.03</b> <sup>a</sup>	3.48±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	3.57±0.02	2.00±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	1.70±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	1.85±0.02
5 <sup>th</sup> weeks	2	5.93±0.08	5.71±0.04	5.82±0.04 <sup>A</sup>	3.30±0.03	3.24±0.02	3.27±0.02 <sup>A</sup>	2.34±0.04	1.94±0.02	2.14±0.03 <sup>A</sup>
	4	$5.80 \pm 0.06$	$5.59 \pm 0.04$	5.70±0.04 <sup>B</sup>	3.24±0.05	$3.22 \pm 0.04$	3.23±0.03 <sup>B</sup>	2.18±0.02	$1.82 \pm 0.04$	2.00±0.02 <sup>B</sup>
	6	5.71±0.04	$5.49 \pm 0.05$	5.60±0.04 <sup>°</sup>	3.16±0.02	3.10±0.03	3.13±0.02 <sup>°</sup>	2.13±0.03	1.78±0.03	<b>1.96±0.02</b> <sup>C</sup>
Means ± SE		5.81±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	5.60±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	5.71±0.03	3.23±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	$3.19\pm0.02^{b}$	3.21±0.01	2.22±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	1.85±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	2.04±0.01
<b>Overall mean ± SE</b>		5.14±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	5.00±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	5.07±0.02	<b>3.66±0.03</b> <sup>a</sup>	3.45±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	3.56±0.02	1.76±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	1.56±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	1.66±0.01

Means with the different superscripts in the same row (a, b) or in the same column (A, B,<br/>C)aresignificantly (P  $\leq$  0.05, or 0.01) different.

*The 21<sup>th</sup> International Scientific Conference Faculty of Physical Therapy Cairo, 28-29 July, 2022* 



# (A) **Fig. 1** (B)

- **The** (A)photomicrograph of rabbit's brain exposed to 6 hoursWiFi radiations showed a marked congestion of the cerebral blood vessels and presence of numerous spongiform vacuoles in the neutropil.
- **The (B)**photomicrograph of rabbits' brain exposed to 6 hours mobile phone radiations showed moderate congestion of the blood vessels along side with moderate locally extensive gliosis and multiple foci of spongiform vacuolation.



(A) **Fig. 2** (B)

The (A) Representative light microphotograph showing the morphology of the frontal cortex tissue in mobile phone 6 hrs (A) and WiFi 6 hrs (B) groups by hematoxylin-eosin, 50  $\mu$ m). severe degenerative changes, shrunken cytoplasm, and extensively dark pyknotic nuclei is observed. The intensity of neuronal changes in mobile phone group is less than in the WiFi group. The number of neurons in the frontal cortex of the WiFi group was also significantly less than in the mobile phone group.



(A) **Fig. 3** (B)

Representative light microphotograph showing the morphology of the brain stem tissue in mobile phone 6 hrs (A) and WiFi 6 hrs (B) groups by hematoxylin-eosin, 50  $\mu$ m).severe degenerative changes, shrunken cytoplasm, and extensively dark pyknotic nuclei is observed. The intensity of neuronal changes in mobile phone group is less than in the WiFi group. The number of neurons in the brain stem of the WiFi group was also significantly less than in the mobile phone group.

# DISCUSSION

biological effects of acute exposure to Electro-magnetic wavesresult in marked disturbances of motor development and brain structure in infant rabbits that result from exposure to cell phone and Wi-Fi at different duration interval.

The present study comes in agreement with [25] who reported that electromagnetic field exposure change protein activity both inside and/or outside the cell leading to marked deformities.

Marked decreased disturbances of motor development and brain structure in this study may attributed to the biological effects of electromagnetic fields may lead to exposure and alternation in biological molecules structure [26].

Disturbances of brain structurein this study also may attributed to a strong relationship between radiation and cholinergic system. Acetylcholine (ACh) is a neuro-transmitter of the cholinergic system and plays a vital role in many functions of both the peripheral and central nervous systems acting in the learning and memory processes well as as locomotor control and cerebral blood flow [27].

This study also comes in accordance with [28] who stated that WiFi exposure inhibitedacetylcholineesterase(AChE) activity when compared with control. This will result in over-activation of AChE in the CNS and thus lead to neurotoxicity in exposed rabbits.

In line with the present study, a study done by [29] who concluded that mobile phone radiation could modify body weight produce observable histological changes in the brain tissue of exposed rabbits. However, these changes may not be associated with a concomitant DNA damage.

biological The effects of electromagnetic radiation are associated with the induction of electric fields in the body. Strong electric fields lead to damage to neuronal functions, depending on the frequency involved. The magnitude of the photon energy of radiofrequency is one-millionth of the ionization energy and one-thousandth of the thermal energy [13].

However, non-thermal effects on biological systems of radio frequency electromagnetic field at low levels are controversial. The photon energy of electromagnetic field emitted from mobile phone breaks the weak non-covalent bonds of DNA strands and induces chemical reactions and changes [4].

Several potential reaction mechanisms have been reported such as oscillating resonances, reactive oxygen species (ROS)-mediated mechanisms, which induced dipole moments [29].

Cellular response to electromagnetic field exposure: signaling pathways Alterations in the calcium signaling pathways have been reported in response to the effects of electromagnetic field exposure, calcium channels and receptors on the cell membrane, which affects the response of mitochondrial calcium reaction as the energy source of the cell. Additionally, there is an increase in intracellular Calcium levels as a result of the cellular effects of electromagnetic field exposure. Alterations in voltage-gated calcium channels have been investigated, and studies have shown enhanced activity of voltage-gated calcium channels due to direct effects of electromagnetic field exposure in many cell types. In particular, voltage-gated calcium channels play a crucial role in the response to electromagnetic field. In the microwave, electromagnetic field activation of voltage-gated calcium channels causes a rapid increase in intracellular calcium, nitric oxide, and

While peroxynitrite. the pathophysiological effects of electromagnetic field are related to the Calcium/nitric oxide/peroxynitrite pathway atthe cellular level, its therapeutic effects are related to the Calcium/nitric oxide/cGMP/ protein kinase G pathway [30& 31] exposed Sprague Dawley rabbits to microwave radiation with a frequency of 591 MHz at an average power density of 13.8 mW/cm2, which induced a reduced availability of adenosine triphosphate resulting in brain energy metabolism disorders ]31[.

Acetylcholine (ACh) is а neurotransmitter with an important role in many functions of both the peripheral and central nervous systemsacting in the learning and memory processes as well as locomotorcontrol and cerebral blood flow [32; 33& 34].

In the present study, WiFi and mobile phone exposure alters some neuro-behavioralfunction associated with neurological diseases. WiFi and mobile phone exposure affect motor altersexploratory coordination and exposed function in motor rabbits.This is in agreement with previous studies where high radiofrequencyelectromagnetic radiation exposure induces cognitive and stress-related impairment behaviors in rats [35; 36& 37].

Acute exposure of rabbits to continuous, pulsed, and amplitude modulated 591 MHz microwaves (2.48–5.8 W/kg) resulted in marked disturbances of energy metabolism in the brain, as reflected by a 40% decrease in adenosine triphosphate and creatine phosphate concentration, and a 12.5% increase in reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide content ]31& 37[.

# CONCLUSION

# Based on the findings of this study

It could be concluded that, electro-magnetic waves result in marked disturbances of motor development and brain structure of infant rabbits that result from exposure to cell phone and Wi-Fi at different duration interval with negative effects with more increased duration of exposure. Despite the time factor WiFi radiations have deleteriously effects more than recorded due to mobile phone radiations. More studies and investigations are still required to evaluate the bad effect of electro-magnetic radiations human health. on especially young developing children.

## REFERENCES

[1]- Esteves E., Black P. J., and Gurelli M. I. (2002). Link adaptation techniques for high-speed packet data in third generation cellular systems. In Proc European Wireless Conference, Italy, 2002. http://www2.ing.unipi.it/ew.

[2]- Korhonen J. (2003). History of mobile cellular systems. In: Korhonen J (ed.) Introduction to 3G mobile communications. London: Artech House, pp. 1–8.

[3]- Foster KR. (2007). Radiofrequency exposure from wireless LANs utilizing Wi-Fi technology. Health Phys, 92(3):280-289.

[4]- Amara S., Douki T., Garel C., Favier A., Sakly M., Rhouma K. B. and Abdelmelek H. (2009). Effects of static magnetic field exposure on antioxidative enzymes activity and DNA in rat brain. Gen PhysiolBiophys; 28(3):260-5.

[5]- Blank M. and Goodman R. (2009).Electromagnetic fields stress living cells. Pathophysiology.; 16: 71-78. Ref.: https://goo.gl/29j8ZU.

[6]- Avci B., Akar A. and Bilgici B. (2012). Oxidative stress induced by 1.8 GHz radio frequency electromagnetic radiation and effects of garlic extract in rats. Int J RadiatBiol: Nov;88(11):799e805.

[7]- Kavindra K. K., Mohd. H. S., Ramovatar M., Verma H. N. and Shivendra K. (2013). Cell phone radiation exposure on brain and associated biological systems. Indian journal of experimental biology, Vol. 51, march 2013. Pp. 187-200. Digital Wireless Basics (DWB): (2007). [8]- Santini, R., Santini, P., Danze, .M., Le Ruz, P., and Seigne, M. (2002).Enque<sup>\*</sup>tesur la sante<sup>\*</sup> de riverains de stations relais de te<sup>\*</sup>le<sup>\*</sup>- phoniemobile : Incidences de la distance et du sexe. Pathol. Biol. 50: 369– 373. doi:10.1016/S0369-8114(02)00311-5.

[9]- Abdel-Rassoul, G., El-Fateh, O.A., Salem, M.A., Micgael, A., Farahat, F., and Salem, E. (2007). Neurobehavioral effects among inhabitants around mobile phone base stations. Neurotoxicology, 28(2): 434–440. doi:10.1016/j.neuro.2006.07.012.

[10]- Lailaet al., K. H. Laila, H.K. Sawsan, S. Anisa.Research (2010). Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences, 5(1): 95-99.

[11]- Volkow, D.N.; D. Tomasi, G.J. Wang, P.Vaska, S.J. Fowler, F. Telang, D. Alexoff, J. Logan, C. Wong, (2011). Journal of America Medical Association, , 305 (8): 1-6

[12]-Hyland GJ. (2000). Physics and biology of mobile telephony. The Lancet 356:1833 – 1836.

**[13]- Kheifets L, Repacholi M, Saunders R, van Deventer E. (2005).** The sensitivity of children to electromagnetic fi elds. Pediatrics 116:303 – 313.

[14]- Farooque M. (2000). Spinal cord ompression injury in the mouse: presentation of a model including assessment of motor dysfunction. Acta Neuropathol (Berlin); 100: 13–22.

[15]- Broton J. G., Nikolic Z., Suys S. and Calancie B. (1996). Kinematic analysis of limb position during quadrupedal locomotion in rats. J Neurotrauma 13:409–416.

[16]- Kunkel Bagden E., Dai HN. AndBregman B. S. (1993). Methods to assess the development and recovery of locomotor function after spinal cord injury in rats. ExpNeurol119 :153–164.

[16]- Von M. Euler, Akesson E., **B.**, Samuelsson E. Seiger A. andSundstrom E. (1996). Motor performance score: a new algorithm for accurate behavioral testing of spinal cord injury in rats. ExpNeurol 137: 242-254.

[17]- Grizzle, W.E.; J.L., Fredenburgh and R.B. Myers, (2008). Fixation of tissues. In Theory and Practice of Histological Techniques (Bancroft, J. D., Gamble, M., eds.), p. 62. Elsevier Health Sciences, Philadelphia, PA

[18]- Stevens, Alan (1982). "The Haematoxylins". In Bancroft, John; Stevens, Alan (eds.). *The Theory and Practice of Histological Techniques* (2nd ed.). Longman Group Limited. p. 109.

[19]- Wittekind D (2003). "Traditional staining for routine diagnostic pathology including the role of tannic acid. 1. Value and limitations of the hematoxylin-eosin stain". Biotech Histochem. 78 (5): 261– 70. doi:10.1080/10520290310001633725. P MID 14989644.

[20]- Pantanowitz L, Valenstein PN, Evans AJ, (2011). Review of the current state of whole slide imaging in pathology. J Pathol Inform;;2:36. doi:10.4103/2153–3539.83746.

[21]- Gardner JM.; M. Jerad and M.D. Gardner (2013). Soft tissue pathology and

dermatopathology digital slide collection. http://pathinfo.wikia.com/wiki/Jerad\_

[22]- Snedecor, G.W., and W.G. Cochran. (1982).*Statistical Methods*. 2nd Ed. Iowa University, Press Ames, Iowa,USA

[23]- SAS, (2001).*Statistical Analysis System*, User's Guide Version 8.2 Cary NC. USA.

[24]- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple (F-test). *Biometrics*, 11: 1-42.

[25]- Warren, J.E. and Gregory, G. (2005). Statistical Methods in Bioinformatics: An Introduction (Statistics for Biology and Health). Springer Science press, New York, USA.

[26]- Levitt; M.H. (2008).Spin Dynamics: Basics of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, John Wiley & Sons, England, 2008.

[27]- Adey; W.R. (1993). Biological effects of electromagnetic fields, J. Cell. Biochem. 51, 410–416.

[28]- Goncalves; J.F.; F.T. Nicoloso, P. da Costa, J.G. Farias, F.B. Carvalho, M.M. da Rosa, Gutierres; J.M; F.H. Abdalla, J.S. Pereira, G.R. Dias, N.B. Barbosa, V.L. Dressler, M.A. Rubin, V.M. Morsch, M.R. Schetinger, (2012). Behaviour and enzymaticchangesafter brain longterm intoxication with cadmium salt or contaminated potatoes, Food Chem. Toxicol. 50, 3709-3718.

[29]- Usikalu; M. R.; S. O. Rotimi and A. E. Oguegbu, (2012).Effect of Exposure of 900 MHz Radiofrequency Radiation on Rat Brain, European Journal of Experimental Biology, 2 (6):2499-2504.

[30]- Kumlin T., Iivonen H., Miettinen P., Juvonen A., Van Groen T., Puranen L., **Pitkäaho R., Juutilainen J. and Tanila H.** (2007). Mobile phone radiation and the developing brain: Behavioral and morphological effects in juvenile rats, Radiat Res, 168: 471.

[31]- Xie Y., Jiang H. H., Gong X. F., Zhuang G. B., JH Yu. and Yu Z. P. (2004). Effect of microwave irradiation on neurocyte mitochondrial ultrastructure and mtTFA mRNA expression in rats cerebral cortex and hippocampus. Chin J IndHygOccupDis.;22:28–31.

[32]- Sanders, A.P., D.J. Schaefer, and W.T. Joines. (1980). Microwave Effects on Energy Metabolism of Rat Brain. Bioelectromagnetics, 1:171-181.

[33]- Deiana, S.; B. Platt, G. Riedel, (2011) .The cholinergic system and spatial learning, Behav. Brain Res. 221: 389–411.

[34]- I. Klinkenberg, A. Sambeth, A. Blokland, (2011). Acetylcholine and attention, Behav. Brain. Res. 221: 44–442.

[35]- J.F. Goncalves, F.T. Nicoloso, P. da Costa, J.G. Farias, F.B. Carvalho, M.M. da Rosa, J.M. Gutierres, F.H. Abdalla, J.S. Pereira, G.R. Dias, N.B. Barbosa, V.L. Dressler, M.A. Rubin, V.M. Morsch, M.R. Schetinger, (2012). Behaviour and brain enzymatic changes after longterm intoxication with cadmium salt or contaminated potatoes, Food Chem. Toxicol. 50: 3709-3718.

[31]- Júnior, ] L.C.; E.S. Guimarães, C.M. Musso, C.T. Stabler, R.M. Garcia, C.A. Mourão-Júnior, A.E. Andreazzi, (2014) .Behavior and memory evaluation of Wistar rats exposed to1.8 GHz radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation, Neurol. Res. 36 (9): 800–803. [32]- Qin,F.; H. Yuan, J. Nie, Y. Cao, J. Tong,(2014). Effects of nano-selenium on cognitionperformance of mice exposed in 1800 MHz radiofrequency fields, Wei Sheng YanJiu 43 (1): 16–21

[36]- K. Wang, J.M. Lu, Z.H. Xing, Q.R. Zhao, J. hang, Y.A. Mei, (2017). Effect of 1.8 GHzradiofrequency electromagnetic radiation on novel object associative recognitionmemory in mice, Sci. Rep. 7: 44521..

[**37]- Sanders AP, Joines WT.** (1984). The effects of hyperthermia and hyperthermia plus microwaves on rat brain energy metabolism. Bioelectromagnetics. 1984;5:63–70. doi: 10.1002/bem.2250050107.



Figure 4. Effect of different time exposure to different electro-magnetic radiations (EMR), on hindlimb motor functions of infant New-Zealand White rabbits, up to weaning, (score 0-10).



Figure 5. Effect of different time exposure to different electro-magnetic radiations (EMR), on locomotion of infant New-Zealand White rabbits, up to weaning, (score 0-5).



Figure 6. Effect of different time exposure to different electro-magnetic radiations (EMR), on circular motion of infant New-Zealand White rabbits, up to weaning, (score 0-5).